To: "Nawi, David" [David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov]

Cc: Michael Tucker [michael.tucker@noaa.gov]; eanna Harwood

[Deanna.Harwood@noaa.gov]; Barajas, Federico" [FBarajas@usbr.gov]; Monroe, Jim" [James.Monroe@sol.doi.gov]; N=Karen Schwinn/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US@EPA;"Belin, Letty" [Letty_Belin@ios.doi.gov]; Chotkowski, Michael" [michael_chotkowski@fws.gov]; Idlof, Patricia S (Patti)" [Pldlof@usbr.gov]; Allen, Kaylee" [Kaylee.Allen@sol.doi.gov]

Bcc: CN=Erin Foresman/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US[]
From: CN=Tom Hagler/OU=R9/O=USEPA/C=US

Sent: Mon 3/5/2012 10:36:12 PM Subject: RE: Purpose Statement

Pldlof@usbr.gov (916) 414-2404 pidlof@usbr.gov

hagler.tom@epamail.epa.gov

I note, mainly FYI, that the Purpose Statement included in the publicly released materials last week is not the same language that was "agreed to" between David N. and Mark C.

Here is what went out last week, taken from the Draft EIS at Chapter 2, at page 2-4:

14 The above Purpose Statement reflects the intent to advance the coequal goals set forth in the 15 Sacramento—San Joaquin Delta Reform Act of 2009 of providing a more reliable water supply for 16 California and protecting, restoring, and enhancing the Delta ecosystem. The above phrase—restore 17 and protect the ability of the SWP and CVP to deliver up to full contract amounts—is related to the 18 upper limit of legal CVP and SWP contractual water amounts and delineates an upper bound for 19 development of EIR/EIS alternatives, not a target. As indicated by the use of "up to full contract 20 amounts," alternatives need not be capable of delivering full contract amounts on average in order 21 to meet the project purposes. It is not intended to imply that increased quantities of water will be 22 delivered under the BDCP. For the purpose of NEPA, alternatives that depict design capacities or 23 operational parameters that would result in deliveries of less than full contract amounts are 24 consistent with this purpose.

The highlighted language was added. It was not included in the paragraph as circulated by David on Feb 23 (included below).

I am assuming that this language was added because someone wants to be able to argue that the CEQA purpose, as opposed to the NEPA purpose, requires some quantity of deliveries in order to meet the CEQA purposes. That is, with this new language, there are two different purpose statements.

Interesting.

From: "Nawi, David" < David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>

To: Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Michael Tucker <michael.tucker@noaa.gov>

Cc: Deanna Harwood Peanna.Harwood@noaa.gov>, "Barajas, Federico" Federico Feder

Date: 02/23/2012 09:25 AM Subject: RE: Purpose Statement

I just spoke to Mark. He has not intended to propose the change Mike Tucker distributed, and has agreed to incorporate just the added sentence in the language currently on the website and reflected in my earlier email. I believe (and hope) that this issue is closed, at least for now.

From: Michael Tucker <michael.tucker@noaa.gov>
To: "Idlof, Patricia S (Patti)" <PIdlof@usbr.gov>

Cc: "Nawi, David" < David_Nawi@ios.doi.gov>, Karen Schwinn/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, Tom Hagler/R9/USEPA/US@EPA, "Belin, Letty" < Letty_Belin@ios.doi.gov>, "Barajas, Federico" < FBarajas@usbr.gov>, Deanna Harwood < Deanna.Harwood@noaa.gov>, "Monroe, Jim" < James.Monroe@sol.doi.gov>, "Chotkowski, Michael" < michael_chotkowski@fws.gov>

Date: 02/22/2012 09:28 AM Subject: Re: Purpose Statement

Unfortunately, the text that Mark provided below is quite different from what has shown up in the draft document provided by ICF (Chapter 2 of EIR/EIS). The new draft includes Mark's addition, but also includes several other key words and qualifying phrases that I had not seen before (attached with differences highlighted). I think that all the Fed agencies need to look at this and decide if we can accept the new changes.

Mike

On Tue, Feb 21, 2012 at 4:14 PM, Idlof, Patricia S (Patti) <Pldlof@usbr.gov> wrote: David.

Reclamation is agreeable to adding the proposed hi-lighted sentence below to the Purpose and Need Statement contained in Chapter 2 of the BDCP EIR/EIS.

Patti Idlof

Office: (916) 414-2404 pidlof@usbr.gov

From: Nawi, David

Sent: Monday, February 13, 2012 4:27 PM

To: Karen Schwinn; hagler.tom@epamail.epa.gov; Belin, Letty; Idlof, Patricia S (Patti); Barajas, Federico; 'Deanna

Harwood'; Monroe, Jim; Michael Tucker; Chotkowski, Michael

Subject: Purpose Statement

Mark Cowin would like to add the highlighted language to the purpose statement in the current version of the DEIS/EIR, set out below. The language is a direct quote from the October 26, 2010 letter from the three federal lead agency RDs to EPA (letter attached for you reference, as well as Chapter 2 of draft BDCP, see p. 5 for relevant language). As I understand it, the intent in proposing the inclusion of the added language is to make clear that the language is focused on average amounts.

Please provide a reaction to the proposed additional language, and include anyone else who should review this.

The above Purpose Statement reflects the intent to advance the coequal goals set forth in the Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta Reform Act of 2009 of providing a more reliable water supply for California and protecting, restoring, and enhancing the Delta ecosystem. The above phrase—restore and protect the ability of the SWP and CVP to deliver up to full contract amounts— is related to the upper limit of legal CVP and SWP contractual water amounts and delineates an upper bound for development of EIR/EIS alternatives, not a target. It is not intended to imply that increased quantities of water will be delivered under the BDCP. As indicated by the "up to full contract amounts" phrase, alternatives need not be capable of delivering full contract amounts on average in order to meet the project purposes. Alternatives that depict design capacities or operational parameters that would result in deliveries of less than full contract amounts are consistent with this purpose.

--

Michael Tucker BDCP Branch Supervisor NOAA Fisheries Central Valley Office (916)-930-3604

************** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED ***********

This Email message contained an attachment named image001.jpg

which may be a computer program. This attached computer program could contain a computer virus which could cause harm to EPA's computers, network, and data. The attachment has been deleted.

This was done to limit the distribution of computer viruses introduced into the EPA network. EPA is deleting all computer program attachments sent from the Internet into the agency via Email.

If the message sender is known and the attachment was legitimate, you should contact the sender and request that they rename the file name extension and resend the Email with the renamed attachment. After receiving the revised Email, containing the renamed attachment, you can rename the file extension to its correct name.

For further information, please contact the EPA Call Center at (866) 411-4EPA (4372). The TDD number is (866) 489-4900.

************** ATTACHMENT NOT DELIVERED ***************